

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,938	03/11/2004	Douglas R. Svenson	046088/267693 4873		
826 7: ALSTON & BIR	590 01/12/2007 RD LLP	EXAMINER			
BANK OF AME	ERICA PLAZA	WHITE, EVERETT NMN			
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0.1.11.00112,1		. 1623			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	THS	01/12/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicati	ation No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/797,9	38	SVENSON ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Everett W		1623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no even and will apply and w ute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	November 2	006.					
2a)□								
3)								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application	on.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Examir	205						
,			ted or h) objected to	hy the Everniner	•			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
,	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(e)				•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/797,938

Art Unit: 1623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed November 28, 2006 has been received, entered and carefully considered. The amendment affects the instant application accordingly:

- (A) Comments regarding Office Action have been provided drawn to:
  - (I) 103(a) rejection, rendered moot by new ground of rejection over newly cited US Patent.
- 2. Claims 1-48 are pending in the case.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U. S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## New Ground of Rejection Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heikkila et al (US Patent No. 6,512,110, already of record) in view of Wilson et al (US Patent No. 3,988,198, newly cited).

Applicants claim a method of producing xylose from a cellulose material containing hemicellulose, comprising: providing a cellulose pulp that is at least partially bleached and has a hemicellulose content that is predominantly xylan; extracting the hemicellulose from the at least partially bleached pulp into a caustic solution thereby forming a hemicaustic solution; separating the hemicaustic solution into a concentrated hemicellulose solution and a concentrated caustic solution; and, hydrolyzing the hemicellulose from the concentrated hemicellulose solution to produce xylose.

The Heikkila et al patent discloses a process for the production of xylose from a paper-grade, hardwood pulp. More specifically, the Heikkila et al patent disclosed that the invention thereof relates to a process wherein the xylan contained in said pulp is extracted using an aqueous solution of a xylanase enzyme. Optionally, the process also comprises one or two alkali treatments. Heikkila et al discloses that the xylose is obtained by a hydrolysis of the xylan extracted from the pulp. Heikkila et al discloses that the paper-grade hardwood pulp used as raw material is preferably soda pulp or kraft pulp (see column 1, lines 8-16). See column 12, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph for the procedure

Application/Control Number: 10/797,938

Art Unit: 1623

used to separate the hemicaustic solution into a caustic solution and a hemicellulose solution (i.e., xylan). The Heikkila et al patent teaches that hardwood pulp comprises hemicellulose at 25-35% (see column 1, lines 50 and 51), which embraces a pulp having greater than 4 wt% of hemicellulose as set forth in instant Claim 2. Also see column 2, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph wherein the Heikkila et al patent further teaches a method of removing pulp using bleaching and alkaline extraction. In this paragraph, Heikkila et al discloses that "bleaching" is the removal of color from pulp, primarily the removal of traces of lignin, which remains bound to the fiber after the primary pulping operation. Heikkila et al teaches that bleaching usually involves treatment with oxidizing agents, such as oxygen, peroxide, chlorine, or chlorine dioxide. Classically, the pulp is treated with chlorine, then extracted with caustic, and finally treated with hypochlorite. The alkaline extraction may be with either hot or cold caustic. Heikkila et al teaches that the relative merits of extraction with cold, versus hot, caustic are discussed at length by M. Weyman in The Bleaching of Pulp, W. Howard Rapson, editor, TAPPI Monograph Series No. 27 (1963), Technical Association of the Pulp and Paper Industry, New York, N.Y., Chapter 5, pp. 67-103. Weyman concludes that cold caustic extraction is the superior method for xylan removal from pulp. Other procedures disclosed in the Heikkila et al patent that can be used to recover xylan include filtration, centrifugation, or the like (see column 7, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph) and nanofiltraion (see column 7, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph). It is also noted that the aqueous caustic treatment in the Heikkila et al patent may be performed at a temperature of 50°C (see column 6, line 46), which is within the range of the temperature of the caustic solution set forth in instant Claim 9. Also see the xylan composition set forth in Example 18 of the Heikkila et al patent which comprises a xylose content of 91.4% which embraces the requirement of instant Claim 23 wherein the hemicellulose has a xylose content of greater than 90 wt%.

The instantly claimed method of producing xylose from a cellulose material containing hemicellulose differs from the process for production of xylose in the Heikkila et al patent by claiming that the instant method comprises providing a pre-hydrolyzed cellulose pulp that is at least partially bleached.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,938 Page 4

Art Unit: 1623

However, the Wilson et al patent shows that extraction of hemicellulose, which has been prehydrolyzed and bleached, is well known in the art. See Example VII of the Wilson et al patent, which illustrates the use of heat-treated hemicaustic effluent in hypochlorite treatment and hot caustic extraction of pulps cooked from southern pine chips by a <u>prehydrolyzed</u> kraft process. This example disclosed that hypochlorite treatment and hot caustic extraction were the second and third of four stages in an incomplete bleach sequence, wherein the first stage involved chlorination of the unbleached pulp and the fourth stage was a chlorine dioxide treatment. The chlorine dioxide treatment embraces treatment of the pulp with bleach.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the pulp used in the process for the production of xylose from hardwood pulp of the Heikkila et al patent with prehydrolyzed pulp in view of the recognition in the art, as evidenced by Wilson et al patent, that heat treatment of the hemicaustic effluent allows gradual lowering of the extraction concentration from 9.5% NaOH to 9.1% NaOH, without adverse effect on caustic solubility and hemicellulose content of the extracted pulp and with only minor reduction of brightness.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the Heikkila et al and Wilson et al patents in order to reject the instant claims under 35 U.S.C. 103 since both documents disclose treatment of pulp materials for industrial applications.

## Summary

5. All the pending claims (1-48) are rejected.

## Examiner's Telephone Number, Fax Number, and Other Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Everett White whose telephone number is 571-272-0660. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,938 Page 5

Art Unit: 1623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia A. Jiang can be reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shaojia A. Jiang

Supervisory Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600